

LNG Supply, Trade and Contracting Patterns: Is Transformation Happening?

Michelle Michot Foss

Chief Energy Economist and Head/Program Manager

Miranda L. Ferrell Wainberg

Advisor

Gürcan Gülen

Senior Energy Economist/Research Scientist

Dmitry Volkov

Business Development Manager, Intercontact Corporation

Svetlana Ikonnikova

Research Scientist

October 2012

DISCLAIMER

This research paper was funded by a grant from the Association of International Petroleum Negotiators ("AIPN") and represents the opinion of the author and not necessarily the opinion of the AIPN or its directors, officers and members. Before relying on any information contained in this paper, you should independently verify its accuracy and completeness for your purposes and seek legal advice, as necessary. The author holds the copyright to this paper. The permission of the AIPN is required to publish this research paper.



Acknowledgements

This paper was prepared by researchers at the Bureau of Economic Geology's Center for Energy Economics, Jackson School of Geosciences, The University of Texas. It originated with an AIPN grant won by Svetlana Ikonnikova and Dmitry Volkov (then an energy analyst at BEG/CEE) in 2009. Steven Miles and Thomas Holmberg of Baker Botts and John Bowman of King & Spalding provided significant comments and critique. CEE researchers participated in the AIPN Master Sale and Purchase Agreement (MSA) model contract committee created in 2005 and led by Harry Sullivan and Steven Miles. In 2007 CEE hosted a committee meeting with industry operators to address technical issues in the MSA. The model contract documents were approved by the AIPN board in 2009. Information on the MSA is available from AIPN, www.aipn.org. Committee discussions and exchanges associated with the model MSA and on short term or "spot" LNG transactions generally, as well as AIPN document development, contributed invaluable insights to the evolution of global LNG trade. Finally, CEE benefits from funding support and ongoing interactions with a host of domestic and international energy companies and other organizations. Donors to CEE's LNG research and public education consortium, Commercial Frameworks for LNG in North America, which operated from 2002-2009 have been particularly helpful over the years in sharing information, access to facilities, time, and energy to our continued efforts. All of CEE's donors and peer review organizations are listed on our LNG web site, www.beg.utexas.edu/energyecon/lng.

At the time this paper was finalized and released by AIPN, the LNG industry was facing a new round of challenges. Demand for LNG following the 2010 earthquake and tsunami in Japan, and the subsequent events at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear facility, provided relief for a global business that was in surplus. The 2000s buildup of LNG industry capacity on all fronts – export liquefaction, shipping, and import receiving/regasification - had collided with 2009 recession effects to create considerable drag on utilization rates and profitability. But the "black swan" effects post-Fukushima unfolded in the face of another reality that already was permeating through the industry – the desire by many customers for different contract terms. In particular, the traditional oil-based pricing mechanism for LNG increasingly has been questioned. Production gains in the U.S. and consequent erosion of the U.S. Henry Hub natural gas price signal has only exacerbated the extent to which oilindexed LNG represents a substantial premium. Many views, including ours, are that fundamental shifts in LNG contract pricing formulas, indeed many long-term natural gas supply and purchase agreements, could lie ahead. Much rests on forward expectations about oil prices and the oil-to-natural gas price "spread". However, given the pervasive and persistent recession effects in Europe and erosion of economic performance in large LNG-buying countries like India it is clear that many customers in price sensitive locations could benefit substantially from natural gas-linked price formulas. The rapid progression of changing conditions over the past 10-15 years, and indications of additional challenges to come, will ensure that the LNG industry remains interesting!

Table of Contents

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 5
2	INTRODUCTION	. 6
3	LNG INDUSTRY AND TRADE ORGANIZATION OVERVIEW: PRODUCERS, BUYERS, AND TRANSPORTERS	11
3.1	Atlantic Basin	12
3.2	Pacific Basin	20
3.3	Flexible LNG Exporters	24
3.4	Summary and Conclusions	27
4	THE LNG VALUE CHAIN: TECHNOLOGIES AND COSTS	29
4.1	Natural Gas Exploration and Production (Upstream)	31
4.2	LNG Liquefaction	32
4.3	LNG Shipping	35
4.4	LNG Regasification	37
4.5	Value Chain Costs: Contracting Implications	39
4.6	Summary and Conclusions	39
5	REGIONAL LNG PRICE DIFFERENCES AND THE EMERGENCE OF PRICE ARBITRAGE AND SHORT-TERM LNG TRADING	40
5.1	Regional LNG Price Differences	40
5.2	LNG Contracting, Price Arbitrage and Destination Flexibility	49
5.3	Summary and Conclusions	53
6	POSSIBLE "GAME CHANGERS" FOR THE FUTURE	53
7	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	63
8	REFERENCES (CITED AND BACKGROUND)	65

List of Tables

Table 1.	CEE-UT Estimates of LNG Value Chain Costs	30
Table 2.	Correlations Across Natural Gas, LNG, and Crude Oil Price Indicators	44
Table 3.	Oil and Natural Gas Price Volatility	46

List of Figures

Figure 1.	The Search for Contract Flexibility6
Figure 2.	Global Natural Gas Trade Movements
Figure 3.	U.S. Traded Natural Gas (Methane) Price History 10
	Crude Oil (WTI) and Natural Gas (Henry Hub) Differential, Barrels to hort Term (top) and Long Term (bottom) 10

Figure 5.	Total Atlantic Basin LNG Trade 13
Figure 6.	North American LNG Import Capacity 16
Figure 7.	Western Atlantic Basin LNG Importers 17
Figure 8.	Eastern Atlantic Basin LNG Importers 19
Figure 9.	Atlantic Basin Exporters 20
Figure 10.	Total Pacific Basin LNG Trade21
Figure 11.	Pacific Basin Importers
Figure 12.	Pacific Basin LNG Exporters 24
Figure 13.	Middle East LNG Exporters
Figure 14.	Middle East LNG Importers 27
Figure 15.	Changing World LNG Trade: Importers Mix
Figure 16.	Changing World LNG Trade: Exporters Mix
Figure 17.	LNG Cost Escalation – Steel Price Example
Figure 18.	Example Upstream Fiscal Systems
Figure 19.	LNG Value Chain Cost Trends (Excluding Feedstock)
Figure 20.	Capital Expenditures for Liquefaction
Figure 21.	Costs for Mid-sized (approx. 150,000 CM) LNG Carriers (1999-2008). 36
	Share of Independent LNG Tanker Owners by Shipping Capacity (as of 2008)
Figure 23.	Cost of EPC Contracts for Regasification Plants Worldwide
Figure 24.	LNG, Natural Gas and Crude Oil Prices (Equivalent)
Figure 25.	History of the JCC Method for LNG Pricing
Figure 26.	LNG and Crude Oil Price Correlation, 1980-200342
Figure 27.	Crude Oil (WTI, top) and Natural Gas (Henry Hub, bottom) Volatility 47
Figure 28.	U.S. LNG Imports vs. U.S./U.K. Gas Price Differential (2007 Snapshot) 51
Figure 29.	U.S. LNG Imports and Exports55
Figure 30.	U.S. Natural Gas Production by Source
Figure 31.	U.S. Natural Gas PUD Bookings57
Figure 32.	Estimated Break Even Costs for U.S. Natural Gas Producers
Figure 33 Smallest (. LNG Export Trends for A Sample of Countries, Largest (top) and bottom)
Figure 34.	The Search for Contract Flexibility65